

Non-Archimedean second main theorems and applications

Ta Thi Hoai An
Institute of Mathematics, Hanoi, VietNam

May 20, 2021

Definition

Let $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ be a non-constant analytic curve,
 $\tilde{f} = (f_0, \dots, f_N)$ be a reduced representative of f .

Let

$$\|\tilde{f}(z)\| := \max\{|f_0(z)|, \dots, |f_N(z)|\}.$$

The **Nevanlinna characteristic function** of f is

$$T_f(r) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \|\tilde{f}(re^{i\theta})\| d\theta.$$

Definition

The **proximity function** of a homogeneous polynomial Q of degree d respect to the map f is defined by

$$m_f(r, Q) := \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ \frac{\|\tilde{f}(re^{i\theta})\|^d}{|Q(\tilde{f})(re^{i\theta})|} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}.$$

The **counting function** is

$$N_f(r, Q) = \int_0^r \frac{n_f(t, Q) - n_f(0, Q)}{t} dt + n_f(0, Q) \log r,$$

where

$$n_f(r, Q) := \#\{z \mid |z| < r, Q \circ f(z) = 0\}, \text{ counting multiplicity}$$

The **defect of f with respect to Q** by

$$0 \leq \delta_f(Q) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \frac{N_f(r, Q)}{(\deg Q) T_f(r)} \right) \leq 1.$$

Question

1. What is the best upper bounded of

$$\sum_{i=1}^q \delta_f(Q_i) \leq ?$$

(This is a problem of the second main theorem)

2. If two nonconstant meromorphic functions which have the same inverse images of elements or sets. Then, will they be equal?

(This is a problem of uniqueness)

Question 1: The problem of second main theorem

- (I) $n = 1$:

- **For complex numbers:** The Classical Second Main

Theorem of Nevanlinna: f is a meromorphic function, a_i are distinct points in \mathbb{C} . Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^q (\delta_f(a_i) + \theta_f(a_i)) \leq 2,$$

where

$$\theta_f(a) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_f(r, a) - \bar{N}_f(r, a)}{T_f(r)}.$$

- **For small functions:** f is a meromorphic function, α is called *small function with f* iff $T_\alpha(r) = o(T_f(r))$

- Steinmetz and Osgood proved: f is a meromorphic function, $\alpha_i, i = 1, \dots, q$ are small functions with f , then

$$\sum_{i=1}^q \delta_f(\alpha_i) \leq 2.$$

- Yamanoi (Acta Math 2004): f is a meromorphic function, $\alpha_i, i = 1, \dots, q$ are small functions with f , then

$$\sum_{i=1}^q (\delta_f(\alpha_i) + \theta_f(\alpha_i)) \leq 2.$$

- (II) $n \geq 2$:
 - **Cartan, Mathematica, 1933:** $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ is a linearly nondegenerate, H_1, \dots, H_q are hyperplanes such that any $n + 1$ of them is linearly independence. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^q \delta_f(H_i) \leq n + 1.$$

- **Shiffman, Indiana U. Math. J., 1979:** D_1, \dots, D_q are hypersurfaces in general position with \mathbb{P}^n , $f : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ is a of finite order and $\text{Im}f \not\subset D_i$ for any i . Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^q \delta_f(D_i) \leq 2n.$$

Definition

X is a n -dimensional projective variety. D_1, \dots, D_q of X is said to be **in general position** if

- the codimension of $\bigcap_{j=1}^q D_j$ in X is q when $q \leq n$;
- for any $\{i_0, \dots, i_n\} \subset \{1, \dots, q\}$, $\bigcap_{j=0}^n D_{i_j} = \emptyset$ when $q \geq n + 1$.

- **Eremenko and Sodin, St. Petersburg Math. J., 1992:**

$f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ and $\text{Im}f \not\subset D_i$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^q \delta_f(D_i) \leq 2n.$$

- **Ru, American J. Math., 2004:** $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ is algebraically nondegenerate. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^q \delta_f(D_i) \leq n + 1.$$

Ru's results need condition f is alg. nondegenerate and it is not clear if these results can imply Eremenko-Sodin's results which only need nondegenerate in the hypersurfaces.

Conjecture (Griffiths' conjecture)

Assume D_1, \dots, D_q are hypersurfaces of degree d , in general position with \mathbb{P}^n and $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ is an algebraically nondegenerate holomorphic map. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^q \delta_f(D_i) \leq (n+1)/d.$$

(Infact Griffiths asked if the above inequality holds for any map which is algebraically nondegenerate in hypersurface of degree d .

There is a counterexample in the case of \mathbb{P}^2 , D_1, D_2, D_3 are the conics, normal crossing, then there exists a map f which is not degenerate of degree 2, but degenerate of degree 3 and

$\sum_{i=1}^3 \delta_f(D_i) \leq (n+1)/d (= 7/2)$ say that it is not true).

Non-Archimedean case

- \mathbf{K} : an algebraically closed field of arbitrary characteristic, complete with respect to a non-Archimedean absolute value $|\cdot|$.

- Let h is an entire function on \mathbf{K} , then for each real number $r \geq 0$,

$$h(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j z^j,$$

we define

$$\begin{aligned} |h|_r &:= \sup_j |a_j| r^j = \sup\{|h(z)| : z \in \mathbf{K} \text{ with } |z| \leq r\} \\ &= \sup\{|h(z)| : z \in \mathbf{K} \text{ with } |z| = r\}. \end{aligned}$$

- Let $f : \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbf{K})$ be a non-constant analytic curve in projective space with $\tilde{f} = (f_0, \dots, f_n)$ be a reduced representative.

$$\|f\|_r := \max\{|f_0|_r, \dots, |f_n|_r\},$$

$$T_f(r) := \log \|f\|_r,$$

$$m_f(r, Q) := \log^+ \frac{\|f\|_r^d}{|Q \circ f|_r}.$$

$n = 1$ f is a Non-Archimedean meromorphic function, and
 $a_i \in \mathbf{K}, i = 1, \dots, q$. Then

$$(q - 1)T_f(r) \leq \sum_{i=1}^q N_f(r, a_i) + O(1), \quad (*)$$

$$(q - 2)T_f(r) \leq \sum_{i=1}^q \bar{N}_f(r, a_i) - \log r + O(1), \quad (*)$$

and hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^q \delta_f(a_i) \leq 1,$$

Question: What is the best bound in (*) when we consider a_i to be small functions?

Our first result is as follows.

Theorem

Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function on \mathbf{K} . Let a_1, \dots, a_q ($q \geq 5$) be q distinct small functions with respect to f . We have

$$\frac{2q}{5} T(r, f) \leq \sum_{i=1}^q \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f - a_i}\right) + S(r, f).$$

Denote

$$\overline{E}(a, k, f) = \{z \in \mathbf{K} : f(z) - a = 0 \text{ with multiplicities at most } k.\}$$

A zero of $f - \infty$ means a pole of f .

$$\text{Denote } \overline{E}(a, \infty, f) = \overline{E}(a, f).$$

We say that f and g *share a function a ignoring multiplicities* if

$$\overline{E}(a, f) = \overline{E}(a, g).$$

Theorem

Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions on \mathbf{K} . Let a_1, \dots, a_q ($q \geq 5$) be q distinct small functions with respect to f and g . Let k_1, \dots, k_q be q positive integers or $+\infty$ with

$$\sum_{j=1}^q \frac{1}{k_j + 1} < \frac{2q(q-4)}{5(q+4)}.$$

If

$$\bar{E}(a_j, k_j, f) = \bar{E}(a_j, k_j, g) \quad (j = 1, \dots, q),$$

then $f \equiv g$.

In the case $k_1 = \cdots = k_q = k$, we can get the result with slightly smaller multiples as follows.

Theorem

Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions on \mathbf{K} . Let a_1, \dots, a_q ($q \geq 5$) be q distinct small functions with respect to f and g . Let k be a positive integer or $+\infty$ with $k > \frac{3(q+4)}{2(q-4)}$. If

$$\bar{E}(a_j, k, f) = \bar{E}(a_j, k, g) \quad (j = 1, \dots, q),$$

then $f \equiv g$.

Corollary

Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions on \mathbf{K} . Let a_1, \dots, a_5 be 5 distinct small functions with respect to f and g . If f and g share a_j ignoring multiplicities ($j = 1, \dots, 5$), then $f \equiv g$.

Sketch of proof We first consider the following lemma.

Lemma

Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function on \mathbf{K} . Let a_1, \dots, a_5 be distinct small functions with respect to f . We have

$$2T(r, f) \leq \sum_{i=1}^5 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f - a_i}\right) + S(r, f).$$

- By the Lemma, for every subset $\{i_1, \dots, i_5\}$ of $\{1, \dots, q\}$ such that $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_5 \leq q$, we have

$$2T(r, f) \leq \sum_{s=1}^5 \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f - a_{i_s}}\right) + S(r, f). \quad (1)$$

- The number of such inequalities is C_q^5 . Taking summing up over all subsets $\{i_1, \dots, i_5\}$ of $\{1, \dots, q\}$:

$$2C_q^5 T(r, f) \leq \sum_{\substack{\{i_1, \dots, i_5\} \subset \{1, \dots, q\} \\ 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_5 \leq q}} \left(\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f - a_{i_1}}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f - a_{i_2}}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f - a_{i_3}}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f - a_{i_4}}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f - a_{i_5}}\right) \right) + S(r, f)$$

for each index i_k , the number of terms $\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f - a_{i_k}}\right)$ is C_{q-1}^4 .

Hence,

$$2C_q^5 T(r, f) \leq C_{q-1}^4 \sum_{i=1}^q \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f - a_i}\right) + S(r, f).$$

It follows that

$$\frac{2q}{5} T(r, f) \leq \sum_{i=1}^q \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f - a_i}\right) + S(r, f).$$

- **Khoai and Tu, Internat. J. Math, 1995:** H_1, \dots, H_q are hyperplanes in general position, $f : \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbf{K})$ is non linear degenerate. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^q \delta_f(G_i) \leq n + 1.$$

- **Ru, Proc. A.M.S., 2001:** G_1, \dots, G_q are hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbf{K})$ in general position, $f : \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbf{K})$ and $\text{Im}f \not\subset G_i$ for any i . Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^q \delta_f(G_i) \leq n.$$

Theorem

X be a projective variety of dimension $n \geq 1$. Let G_1, \dots, G_q be hypersurfaces in general position with X . Let $f : \mathbf{K} \rightarrow X$ be a non-constant analytic map and $\text{Im} f \not\subset G_i$ for any i . Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^q \delta_f(G_i) \leq n.$$

Corollary

With the assumptions and notation as in the theorem, there is at most n hypersurfaces G_j such that $\delta_f(G_j) > 0$.

Corollary

Let X be a projective variety of dimension n and G_1, \dots, G_q are hypersurfaces in general position. If $q \geq n + 1$ then $f : \mathbf{K} \rightarrow X \setminus \{\cup_{i=1}^q G_i\}$ is constant.

The Complex case

Definition

A manifold X over algebraically closed, and complete field F is said to be **hyperbolic** (in the sense of Brody) if every analytic map from F to X is constant.

Conjecture (Kobayashi - Zaidenberg Conjecture)

In the complex field, the complements of "generic" hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^n with degree at least $2n + 1$ are hyperbolic.

Previous Work

- **Green, Proc. A. M. S., 1977:**

$\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \{2n + 1 \text{ hyperplanes in general position}\}$ is \mathbb{C} -hyperbolic.

- **Eremenko-Sodin, St. Petersburg M. J., 1992; and Ru, J.**

Reine Angew. Math., 1993]: $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \{2n + 1$
hypersurfaces in general position} is \mathbb{C} -hyperbolic.

- **Dethloff, Schmacher, and Wong, Amer. J. Math., 1995;**

Duke Math. J., 1995: For C_i is generic curves,

$\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \cup_{i=1}^4 C_i$ is \mathbb{C} -hyperbolic and $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \cup_{i=1}^3 C_i$ is

\mathbb{C} -hyperbolic if $\deg C_i \geq 2$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Non-Archimedean cases

- **Ru, Proc. A.M.S., 2001:**

$\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbf{K}) \setminus \{n + 1 \text{ hypersurfaces in general position}\}$ is \mathbf{K} -hyperbolic.

- **Proc. A. M. S. 135 (2007):**

$X \setminus \{\dim X + 1 \text{ hypersurfaces in general position}\}$ is \mathbf{K} -hyperbolic.

Question

Let D_1, \dots, D_q , $q \leq n$, be q distinct generic hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbf{K})$. If $\sum_{i=1}^q \deg D_i \geq 2n$, then $\mathbb{P}^n \setminus \cup_{i=1}^q D_i$ is \mathbf{K} -hyperbolic.

Theorem (Wang, Wong and A., J. Number Theory 128 (2008))

Let X be an n -dimensional nonsingular projective variety. Let $D_i = \{P_i = 0\}$, $1 \leq i \leq q$, be hypersurfaces in general position. Let $f : \mathbf{K} \rightarrow X \setminus \cup_{i=1}^q D_i$. Then

$$\text{codim}(\text{Im}f) \geq \min\{n + 1, q\} - 1.$$

In particular, if $q \geq 2$ then f is algebraically degenerate, and if $q \geq n + 1$ then $X \setminus \cup_{i=1}^q D_i$ is \mathbf{K} -hyperbolic.

The following example shows that the theorem is sharp.

Example

Let $X = \mathbb{P}^n$ and $q \leq n$ and $D_1 = \{X_{n-q+1} = 0\}, \dots, D_q = \{X_n = 0\}$. Let f_0, \dots, f_{n-q} be algebraically independent K -analytic functions. Then $f = (f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{n-q}, 1, \dots, 1) : \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n \setminus \cup_{i=1}^q D_i$, and $\text{codim}(\text{Im}f) = q - 1$.

Lemma

Let C be a irreducible projective curve. Then $C \setminus \{\text{two distinct points}\}$ is \mathbf{K} -hyperbolic.

Results in projective spaces

Definition

Nonsingular hypersurfaces D_1, \dots, D_n in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbf{K})$ **intersect transversally** if for every point $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n D_i$, $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \Theta_{D_i, x} = \{x\}$, where $\Theta_{D_i, x}$ is the tangent space to D_i at x .

Theorem

Let D_1, \dots, D_n be nonsingular hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbf{K})$ intersecting transversally. Then $\mathbb{P}^n \setminus \cup_{i=1}^n D_i$ is \mathbf{K} -hyperbolic if $\deg D_i \geq 2$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$.

The assumption on the degree of the hypersurfaces is sharp.

Example

$D_1 = \{X_0 = 0\}$, and $D_i = \{X_0^2 + a_{i1}X_1^2 + \dots + a_{in}X_n^2 = 0\}$ with $a_{i1} + \dots + a_{in} = 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$ such that every $n-1$ by $n-1$ submatrix of the matrix $(a_{ij})_{i,j}$, $2 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, has rank $n-1$. Then these hypersurfaces intersect transversally. Clearly, the analytic map $f(z) = (1, z, z, \dots, z)$ does not intersect any of the hypersurfaces D_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$.

The particular case when $n = 2$

Definition

Let D be a curve of degree $d \geq 3$ in \mathbb{P}^2 . A nonsingular point x of D is said to be a **maximal inflexion point** if there exists a line intersecting D at x with multiplicity d .

Remark

The curve $X^d - YZ^{d-1} = 0$ has a maximal inflexion point $P = (0, 0, 1)$ if $d \geq 3$. Every smooth cubic has 9 maximal inflexion points counting multiplicities. Since a maximal inflexion point is an inflexion point, the coefficients of the defining equation of the curve need to satisfy an algebraic equation (i.e. its Hessian form cf. [?]). Therefore, it is not difficult to see that a generic curve of degree $d \geq 4$ has no maximal inflexion points.

Theorem

Let D_1 and D_2 be nonsingular projective curves in \mathbb{P}^2 . Assume that D_1 and D_2 intersect transversally and $\deg D_1 \leq \deg D_2$. Then $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \{D_1 \cup D_2\}$ is \mathbf{K} -hyperbolic if and only if either $\deg D_1, \deg D_2 \geq 2$ or $\deg D_1 = 1, \deg D_2 \geq 3$ and D_1 does not intersect D_2 at any maximal inflexion point.

To prove the theorem, we first study some cases that

$\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \{D_1 \cup D_2\}$ fails to be \mathbf{K} -hyperbolic.

Lemma

$\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \{D_1 \cup D_2\}$ is not \mathbf{K} -hyperbolic if

- (i) $\deg D_1 = 1$ and $\deg D_2 \leq 2$;
- (ii) $\deg D_1 = \deg D_2 = 2$ and D_1 and D_2 intersect tangentially;
- (ii) $\deg D_1 = 1$, $\deg D_2 \geq 3$ and D_1 does intersect D_2 at a maximal inflexion point of D_2 .

The non-archimedean analogue of the Kobayashi-Zaidenberg conjecture for the case of \mathbb{P}^2 omitting two generic curves follows directly.

Corollary

Let D_1 and D_2 be distinct generic curves in \mathbb{P}^2 . If $\deg D_1 + \deg D_2 \geq 4$ then $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \{D_1 \cup D_2\}$ is \mathbf{K} -hyperbolic.